

Compiler Construction

Theoretical Exercise 5: x86-64 assembler

Michael Engel

TE5.1 x86-64 code analysis

Consider the following x86-64 assembler code function, compiled from C:

a. How many parameters does the function take?

Which instructions indicate this (give the instruction address)?

Values are passed to functions in registers (in that order):
rdi, rsi, rdx, rcx, r8, and r9
(or edi, esi... for 32-bit values)

The function uses the value in esi
(address 0) as well as edi and edx
(addresses 6 and 0xc)

So we can assume that the function
uses 3 parameters

```
Disassembly of section .text:

0000000000000000 <foo>:
 0: 89 f0          mov     %esi,%eax
 2: 85 f6          test   %esi,%esi
 4: 7e 0f          jle    15 <foo+0x15>
 6: 85 d2          test   %edx,%edx
 8: 74 0c          je     16 <foo+0x16>
 a: 31 d2          xor    %edx,%edx
 c: 01 f8          add    %edi,%eax
 e: 83 c2 01      add    $0x1,%edx
11: 39 d0          cmp    %edx,%eax
13: 7f f7          jg     c <foo+0xc>
15: c3            retq
16: 29 f8          sub    %edi,%eax
18: 83 c2 01      add    $0x1,%edx
1b: 39 d0          cmp    %edx,%eax
1d: 7f f7          jg    16 <foo+0x16>
1f: c3            retq
```

TE5.1 x86-64 code analysis

Consider the following x86-64 assembler code function, compiled from C:

b. Does the code of the function include an if statement?

How did you find this out?

The instructions `test %esi,%esi` and `test %edx,%edx` look a bit strange:

"The TEST instruction performs a bitwise AND on two operands. The flags SF, ZF, PF are modified while the result of the AND is discarded"

When both operands are identical, it works as a test for zero and sign of the operand => if instructions use conditional jumps to check the zero and sign flags (here: `jle/je`)

```
Disassembly of section .text:

0000000000000000 <foo>:
  0: 89 f0          mov     %esi,%eax
  2: 85 f6          test   %esi,%esi
  4: 7e 0f          jle    15 <foo+0x15>
  6: 85 d2          test   %edx,%edx
  8: 74 0c          je     16 <foo+0x16>
 a: 31 d2          xor    %edx,%edx
 c: 01 f8          add    %edi,%eax
 e: 83 c2 01      add    $0x1,%edx
11: 39 d0          cmp    %edx,%eax
13: 7f f7          jg     c <foo+0xc>
15: c3             retq
16: 29 f8          sub    %edi,%eax
18: 83 c2 01      add    $0x1,%edx
1b: 39 d0          cmp    %edx,%eax
1d: 7f f7          jg     16 <foo+0x16>
1f: c3             retq
```

TE5.1 x86-64 code analysis

Consider the following x86-64 assembler code function, compiled from C:

c. Does the code of the function include a loop?

How did you find this out?

Loops in the code usually jump backwards with a conditional jump, so there are two loops here: at addresses 0xc-0x14 and at 0x16-0x1e

We cannot find out which loop this was originally (do-while or for), since the compiler can transform loops to other forms

```
Disassembly of section .text:

0000000000000000 <foo>:
 0: 89 f0          mov     %esi,%eax
 2: 85 f6          test   %esi,%esi
 4: 7e 0f          jle    15 <foo+0x15>
 6: 85 d2          test   %edx,%edx
 8: 74 0c          je     16 <foo+0x16>
 a: 31 d2          xor    %edx,%edx
 c: 01 f8          add    %edi,%eax
 e: 83 c2 01      add    $0x1,%edx
11: 39 d0          cmp    %edx,%eax
13: 7f f7          jg     c <foo+0xc>
15: c3            retq
16: 29 f8          sub    %edi,%eax
18: 83 c2 01      add    $0x1,%edx
1b: 39 d0          cmp    %edx,%eax
1d: 7f f7          jg    16 <foo+0x16>
1f: c3            retq
```

TE5.1 x86-64 code analysis

Consider the following x86-64 assembler code function, compiled from C:
d. Does the function return a value?

The x86-64 ABI (System V, used in Linux) requires the return value of a function to be passed in the `%eax` register.

The value of `%eax` is modified, so we can *assume* this is a return value.

We can only be sure about this if we see the code calling the function

```
Disassembly of section .text:
0000000000000000 <foo>:
 0: 89 f0          mov     %esi,%eax
 2: 85 f6          test   %esi,%esi
 4: 7e 0f          jle    15 <foo+0x15>
 6: 85 d2          test   %edx,%edx
 8: 74 0c          je     16 <foo+0x16>
a: 31 d2          xor    %edx,%edx
c: 01 f8          add    %edi,%eax
e: 83 c2 01      add    $0x1,%edx
11: 39 d0          cmp    %edx,%eax
13: 7f f7          jg     c <foo+0xc>
15: c3            retq
16: 29 f8          sub    %edi,%eax
18: 83 c2 01      add    $0x1,%edx
1b: 39 d0          cmp    %edx,%eax
1d: 7f f7          jg     16 <foo+0x16>
1f: c3            retq
```

TE5.1 x86-64 code analysis

(this was not a question, but it helps...) What would the corresponding C code look like?

int a: %edi, int b: %esi, int c: %edx

Disassembly of section .text:

```
0000000000000000 <foo>:
 0: 89 f0      mov     %esi,%eax
 2: 85 f6      test   %esi,%esi
 4: 7e 0f      jle    15 <foo+0x15>
 6: 85 d2      test   %edx,%edx
 8: 74 0c      je     16 <foo+0x16>
a: 31 d2      xor    %edx,%edx
c: 01 f8      add    %edi,%eax
e: 83 c2 01   add    $0x1,%edx
11: 39 d0      cmp    %edx,%eax
13: 7f f7      jg     c <foo+0xc>
15: c3        retq
16: 29 f8      sub    %edi,%eax
18: 83 c2 01   add    $0x1,%edx
1b: 39 d0      cmp    %edx,%eax
1d: 7f f7      jg     16 <foo+0x16>
1f: c3        retq
```

```
int foo(int a, int b, int c) {
    if (b <= 0) return b;

    if (c != 0) {
        do {
            b = b - a;
            c++;
        } while(c > b);
    } else {
        c = 0;
        do {
            b = b + a;
            c++;
        } while (c > b);
    }
    return b;
}
```

TE5.2 Disassemble!

The following x86-64 assembly code is given:

a. Give equivalent valid C code that would compile without warnings to this assembler code function. Assume the declaration `extern unsigned a, b;`

```
void f() {  
    a -= b & 255;  
}
```

```
f:  
  
    movl a, %eax  
    movl b, %edx  
    andl $255, %edx  
    subl %edx, %eax  
    movl %eax, a  
    retq
```

TE5.2 Disassemble!

The following x86-64 assembly code is given:

b. Find two different versions of C code that compile to the above code. One of these should have a different function signature than the ones you described already.

```
void f() {  
    a += -(b % 256);  
}
```

```
unsigned f() {  
    a = a - b % 0x100;  
    return a;  
}
```

```
unsigned f() {  
    a -= (unsigned char) b;  
    return a;  
}
```

```
f:  
  
    movl a, %eax  
    movl b, %edx  
    andl $255, %edx  
    subl %edx, %eax  
    movl %eax, a  
    retq
```

```
char* f(int x, int y, int z[1000]) {  
    a -= (unsigned char) b;  
    return (char*) a;  
}
```


TE5.3 Data types

For each of the following x86-64 assembler instructions, give the type of the data object that is most likely to be accessed by this code. Indicate the reason for your answer.

- `movzbl %al, %eax`
unsigned char: `movzbl` instructs the cpu to fetch a byte from memory, and zero extend it to 32 bits.
- `movl -28(%rbp), %edx`
int or unsigned: `movl` copies a 32 bit value, here from the stack frame to `edx`
- `movsbl -32(%rbp), %eax`
[signed] char: `movsbl` means "move with sign extend from byte to longword"
- `movl (%rdx,%rax,4), %eax`
Array of ints or unsigned ints: offset in `rax` multiplied by 4 (=sizeof(int)), base address in `rdx`
- `movzbl 4(%rax), %eax ; movsbl %al, %eax`
char field from a structure; or the 4th character in a string.